- 1	
1	Lauren Gelman, State Bar No. 228734 Jennifer Stisa Granick, State Bar No. 168423
2	STANFORD LAW SCHOOL CYBERLAW CLINIC
3	CENTER FOR INTERNET & SOCIETY
4	Crown Quadrangle 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanfard Galifornia 04205 8610
5	Stanford, California 94305-8610 Telephone: (650) 724-3358
6	Facsimile: (650) 723-4426 E-mail: gelman@stanford.edu
7	Attorneys for Amicus Curiae Law Professors
8	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12	
13	TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS, Case No.: C 06-0672-VRW CAROLYN JEWEL, and ERIC KNUTZEN
14	On Behalf of Themselves and All Others (PROPOSED) ORDER RE:
15	Plaintiffs,  Plaintiffs,  PARTICIPATION OF AMICUS CURIAN LAW PROFESSORS REGARDING
16	y. MOTION TO DISMISS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR SUMMARY
17	JUDGMENT BY THE INTERVENOR
18	AT&T CORPORATION, AT&T   NCORPORATED, and DOES 1-2,
19	Inclusive, Hearing Date: June 21, 2006
20	Defendants.  Judge: The Hon. Vaughn R. Walker Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: PARTICIPATION OF AMICUS CURIAE
	LAW PROFESSORS PEGARANTON TO PRIMARY

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: PARTICIPATION OF AMICUS CURIAE LAW PROFESSORS REGARDING MOTION TO DISMISS

Case No. C-06-0672-VRW

Pursuant to the Application Re: Participation of Amici Curiae Law Professors regarding Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative for Summary Judgment by the Intervenor United States of America, and Good Cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Amici Curiae Law Professors may file a brief to be lodged on June 16, 2006 regarding whether this Court should dismiss the above-captioned case on states secrets grounds asserted by the Intervenor United States in its Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative for Summary Judgment.

Dated: June \_\_\_\_\_, 2006

